

Louisiana Poll Report

Edward Chervenak, PhD

The findings reported here are from a telephone survey of 505 registered voters in Louisiana who were polled between February 10th and 13th, 2014. The sample was stratified by the five Public Service Commission (PSC) Districts to assure there were an equal number of respondents in each of the districts. Respondents were asked questions on renewable energy policies in Louisiana. In addition to reporting the frequencies, a series of cross-tabulations are presented that breaks down each question by the demographic variables of party identification, education, race, income, gender, age, as well as by the PSC district where the respondent resided.

Does your household have solar panels?

To begin with, only about two percent of the people interviewed reported having solar panels at their household. That figure did not vary by much when it came to party identification, education, gender, or race. There were some income based differences, with nearly 7% of those earning between \$81,000 and \$100,000 reporting they had solar panels. The age group with the most experience with solar power was the 30 to 39 age category. PSC district 1 had the highest rate of respondents saying they had solar panels at their household.

Do you support or oppose more development of clean energy resources like wind, solar and biomass in Louisiana?

A large majority – 76.5% – supported the development of solar, wind and biomass in Louisiana, with nearly half of them strongly supporting it. Democrats, Independents and Republicans are all in favor of this development. Support for development of clean energy resources steadily increases as education level rises. Only 50% of people with a grade school education supported clean energy development, compared to 78% of college graduates. Blacks and whites were equally supportive of a clean energy policy and there were no real differences across income categories. Men and women were equally supportive overall, but women were more likely than men to say they strongly supported more clean energy development (40% to 32%). Every age group was heavily supportive of clean energy development, with those 70 and over being the least supportive. PSC district 2 was the least in favor of this policy while districts 1, 3, and 5 were the most supportive.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: The opportunity for homeowners to go solar is an important part of providing choice and competition in electricity?

Another large majority – 77% – agreed that an opportunity for homeowners to go solar was an important for providing choice and competition in electricity generation. There was broad agreement on this statement across party identification, race, gender, age, and PSC district. Education levels appeared to influence opinion as only 57% of those with a grade school education, compared to 80% of college graduates, agreed that solar was part of providing choice. Income also had a moderate effect as those earning below \$10,000 were less likely to agree than did respondents in the other income categories.

Do you believe you should have the right to choose where your energy comes from?

The poll confirmed the people’s desire to have the right to choose when it came to deciding on their energy. The supermajority of the respondents, 93.5% believed that they should have the right to choose where their energy should come from. That sentiment was almost universally expressed by every demographic. At least 90% reported that they believed they had the right to choose where their energy comes from regardless of party identification, race, gender, and PSC district. There was some variation, albeit not to a great degree for respondents with some high school, for those who earned less than \$10,000, and those who were age 70 and over.

Should the Louisiana government make an effort to make more renewable energy like solar power more affordable, less affordable or should we do nothing to affect its cost?

The respondents also supported the statement that Louisiana government should make an effort to provide consumers with more affordable renewable energy like solar power. Democrats were very supportive of this effort, while Independents were less supportive, and Republicans even less so. Nearly one-fourth of Republicans said the state should do nothing as did nearly the same percentage of Independents. One-half people with a grade school education said the state should make an effort to make solar more affordable. They were also twice as likely as any other educational level to state that the state should make solar power less affordable. Attitudes differed by race on whether the Louisiana government should get involved in making solar more or less affordable. While majorities of whites and blacks agreed that the state should make an effort to make renewable energy more affordable, whites were over three times more likely to say the government should do nothing. Attitudes based on income indicated that the two wealthiest categories, while supportive of efforts to make solar more affordable, were also most likely to say that government should do nothing. There was a gender gap on this question as 78% of women, compared to 66% of men, thought government should make solar more affordable. Men were twice as likely to say that government should do

nothing. There were no discernible differences based on age. PSC district 3 was most supportive of government efforts to make renewable energy more affordable, while PSC district 2 was the least supportive district.

Now I am going to read you the following statement:

“Net metering” is the system to track how much energy customers' solar panels generate compared to how much energy they use. If a customer's solar panels produce more electricity than that customer uses, the customer gets a credit on their bill. If the customer uses more power than their solar panels generate, the customers get charged for that extra electricity, just like any other customer would.”

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Customers deserve to continue receiving retail credit for the energy they produce, as currently proscribed by Louisiana's Net Metering law?

A substantial majority of the respondents also expressed support for continuing the retail credit from the energy that households produced with their solar panels. A near majority of the participants in the poll strongly agreed that customers deserved to continue to receive retail credit for the energy they produced. There was wide-ranging agreement on this statement across party identification, education, race, income, gender, age, and PSC district.

Do you approve or disapprove of the utilities' proposal that customers with net metered solar panels should be charged an additional fee?

At the same time, the poll showed there was strong disapproval of the idea that customers should be charged an additional fee for the use of net-metered solar panels. Three-quarters of respondents disapproved of this measure, with 42% strongly disapproving. Democrats were more disapproving than Independents who, in turn, were more disapproving than Republicans. While a majority of the least educated disapproved of the additional fees, they were also the most supportive of additional fees. Large majorities of all other age groups disapproved. There was widespread disapproval across race, income, gender. On age, those who were 18-29 were more likely to approve to additional fees than was any other age group. There was some variation across PSC districts with district 2 being slightly more in favor of additional fees while district 3 was the most disapproving of them.

Louisiana's current law has some of the most restrictive limits on how many homeowners can use net metering. Do you think the state should lift the cap and allow more individuals to receive retail credit for the solar energy they produce? Or do you think the state should restrict the growth of solar energy by capping how many people can net meter?

The answer to this question was that respondents overwhelmingly believed that Louisiana should lift the cap and allow more individuals to get solar net metering. Widespread support was found across party identification and race. Differences in support was found in education levels as one-third of those with a grade school education, compared to 80% of college graduates, favored allowing more individuals get solar with net metering. Attitudes on this question were also tied slightly to income. The poorest respondents were the least supportive of lifting the caps, but over one-third of them reported they were unsure of how they felt about this issue. Men were more supportive than women by a slight margin on lifting the caps. As far as age, the eldest age category expressed the least support for lifting the caps and the most support for restricting them. Additionally, one-third of them were not sure about their position on this issue.

How likely are you to vote for a political candidate who supports imposing restrictive caps and charging additional fees for customers with solar panels?

Respondents were not willing to back a political candidate who supported imposing restrictive caps and charging additional fees for customers with solar panels. Six-in-ten said they would be less likely to vote for that type of candidate, with 23% answering they would be more likely, and another 18% unsure of where they stood on this question. There wasn't much variation across party identification, although Democrats were more likely than both Independents and Republicans to vote for candidates who supported restricted caps and additional fees for solar customers. The outlier in the educational categories was in grade 9 to 11 as these particular respondents were almost evenly split on whether or not they would back a candidate who promoted caps and fee on solar power customers. All other educational levels expressed majority sentiment against supporting a candidate who advocated anti-solar policies. Blacks were more likely than whites to vote for an anti-solar candidate (30% to 21%). There was little deviation across income groups, with the exception being the wealthiest one. Only 7% said they were likely to vote for an anti-solar candidate, compared to all the other income groups where backing for an anti-solar candidate ranged between the mid-to-high twenties. Younger respondents were a bit more supportive of anti-solar candidates than were older ones. Respondents in PSC district 1 were the least likely to back a candidate promoting caps and fees, while district 2 was the most supportive of that type of candidate.

Survey Methodology

Results for this poll are based on telephone interviews conducted February 10 - 13, 2014, with a random sample of 505 registered voters, aged 18 and older, living in the state of Louisiana.

For results based on the total sample of registered voters, the margin of sampling error is ± 4.3 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

Sample matches the demographics of age, gender, and race in the larger population.

Sample is stratified by Public Service Commission District.

Louisiana Poll Frequencies

Are you, or is anyone else in your household, employed by a newspaper, television or radio station, or a political party, or by a candidate for political office?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
No	505	100.0	100.0	100.0

Does your household have solar panels?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	10	1.8	1.9	1.9
No	489	96.9	98.1	100.0
Total	499	98.7	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	6	1.3		
Total	505	100.0		

Do you support or oppose more development of clean energy resources like wind, solar and biomass in Louisiana?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Strongly support	184	36.4	41.3	41.3
Support	202	40.1	45.4	86.7
Oppose	37	7.2	8.2	94.9
Strongly oppose	23	4.5	5.1	100.0
Total	446	88.2	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	59	11.8		
Total	505	100.0		

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: The opportunity for homeowners to go solar is an important part of providing choice and competition in electricity?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Strongly agree	181	35.8	40.1	40.1
Agree	210	41.7	46.6	86.8
Disagree	34	6.7	7.5	94.3
Strongly disagree	26	5.1	5.7	100.0
Total	451	89.4	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	54	10.6		
Total	505	100.0		

Do you believe you should have the right to choose where your energy comes from?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yes	472	93.5	97.0	97.0
No	15	2.9	3.0	100.0
Total	487	96.4	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	18	3.6		
Total	505	100.0		

Should the Louisiana government make an effort to make more renewable energy like solar power more affordable, less affordable or should we do nothing to affect its cost?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
More affordable	366	72.5	78.3	78.3
Less affordable	19	3.7	4.0	82.2
Do nothing	83	16.5	17.8	100.0
Total	468	92.7	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	37	7.3		
Total	505	100.0		

Now I am going to read you the following statement:

"Net metering" is the system to track how much energy customers' solar panels generate compared to how much energy they use. If a customer's solar panels produce more electricity than that customer uses, the customer gets a credit on their bill. If the customer uses more power than their solar panels generate, the customers get charged for that extra electricity, just like any other customer would.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Customers deserve to continue receiving retail credit for the energy they produce, as currently proscribed by Louisiana's Net Metering law?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Strongly agree	226	44.7	49.5	49.5
Agree	196	38.8	43.0	92.5
Disagree	19	3.7	4.2	96.7
Strongly disagree	15	3.0	3.3	100.0
Total	456	90.2	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	49	9.8		
Total	505	100.0		

Do you approve or disapprove of the utilities' proposal that customers with net metered solar panels should be charged an additional fee?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Strongly approve	24	4.8	5.4	5.4
Approve	48	9.6	10.7	16.1
Disapprove	169	33.5	37.3	53.4
Strongly disapprove	212	41.9	46.6	100.0
Total	453	89.8	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	52	10.2		
Total	505	100.0		

Louisiana's current law has some of the most restrictive limits on how many homeowners can use net metering. Do you think the state should lift the cap and allow more individuals to receive retail credit for the solar energy they produce? Or do you think the state should restrict the growth of solar energy by capping how many people can net meter?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Allow more individuals to get solar net metering	374	74.1	89.5	89.5
Continue restricting solar net metering	44	8.7	10.5	100.0
Total	418	82.7	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	87	17.3		
Total	505	100.0		

How likely are you to vote for a political candidate who supports imposing restrictive caps and charging additional fees for customers with solar panels?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Very likely	38	7.5	9.1	9.1
Likely	77	15.3	18.6	27.6
Not likely	148	29.3	35.5	63.1
Not at all likely	154	30.4	36.9	100.0
Total	417	82.5	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	88	17.5		
Total	505	100.0		

Generally speaking do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or what?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Democrat	161	32.0	32.6	32.6
Independent	137	27.1	27.6	60.2
Republican	115	22.9	23.3	83.5
Other	57	11.2	11.4	94.9
No preference/ none/neither	25	5.0	5.1	100.0
Total	495	98.2	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	10	1.8		
Total	505	100.0		

What is the highest grade of school that you have completed?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Grade school	6	1.2	1.2	1.2
Grades 9 to 11	29	5.7	5.8	6.9
High school	144	28.6	28.9	35.9
Some college	117	23.1	23.4	59.3
College degree	140	27.7	28.0	87.3
Graduate/ professional degree	64	12.6	12.7	100.0
Total	500	98.9	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	5	1.1		
Total	505	100.0		

What is your race?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
White	314	62.1	64.4	64.4
Black	149	29.6	30.7	95.1
Asian	1	.2	.2	95.3
Hispanic	4	.7	.8	96.0
Other	19	3.8	4.0	100.0
Total	487	96.4	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	18	3.6		
Total	505	100.0		

Finally, as I read some categories of income, please tell me when I get to your **TOTAL** annual family income

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Below \$10,000	37	7.3	8.9	8.9
\$10,000 to \$25,000	45	9.0	10.9	19.8
\$26,000 to \$40,000	63	12.4	15.2	35.0
\$41,000 to \$60,000	76	15.0	18.3	53.3
\$61,000 to \$80,000	77	15.2	18.6	71.8
\$81,000 to \$100,000	45	9.0	10.9	82.7
Over \$100,000	71	14.1	17.3	100.0
Total	414	82.0	100.0	
Missing DK/REF	91	18.0		
Total	505	100.0		

Age

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
18 to 29	101	20.1	20.1	20.1
30 to 39	81	16.1	16.1	36.2
40 to 49	79	15.6	15.6	51.8
50 to 59	93	18.4	18.4	70.3
60 to 69	84	16.6	16.6	86.9
70 and over	67	13.1	13.1	100.0
Total	505	100.0	100.0	

Gender

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Male	226	44.8	44.8	44.8
Female	279	55.2	55.2	100.0
Total	505	100.0	100.0	

PSC District

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
District 1	96	19.0	19.0	19.0
District 2	100	19.8	19.8	38.7
District 3	109	21.8	21.8	60.5
District 4	100	19.7	19.7	80.2
District 5	100	19.8	19.8	100.0
Total	505	100.0	100.0	

Louisiana Poll
Cross-tabulations

Cross tabulation: *Household have solar panels by Demographics*

Household have solar panels? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
Yes	3.1%	0.7%	1.7%	1.8%			1.8%
No	94.4%	98.5%	98.3%	98.2%	96.0%	88.9%	96.8%
DK/REF	2.5%	0.7%			4.0%	11.1%	1.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Household have solar panels? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
Yes			2.1%	1.7%	2.9%	1.6%		2.0%
No	100.0%	100.0%	95.2%	97.4%	96.4%	96.9%	100.0%	96.6%
DK/REF			2.8%	0.9%	0.7%	1.6%		1.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Household have solar panels? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
Yes	1.6%	1.4%				5.6%	1.6%
No	98.1%	95.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	94.4%	97.2%
DK/REF	0.3%	3.4%					1.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Household have solar panels? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
Yes		2.2%	1.6%			6.7%	1.4%	4.4%	2.0%
No	94.6%	95.6%	96.8%	98.7%	100.0%	93.3%	98.6%	93.4%	96.6%
DK/REF	5.4%	2.2%	1.6%	1.3%				2.2%	1.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Household have solar panels? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Yes	2.2%	1.8%	2.0%
No	96.9%	96.4%	96.6%
DK/REF	0.9%	1.8%	1.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Household have solar panels? * Age categories

	Age categories						Total
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
Yes		6.1%			2.4%	3.0%	1.8%
No	97.1%	93.9%	100.0%	98.9%	96.4%	93.9%	96.8%
DK/REF	2.9%			1.1%	1.2%	3.0%	1.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Household have solar panels? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
Yes	5.2%		1.8%	1.0%	2.0%	2.0%
No	93.8%	99.0%	97.3%	98.0%	95.0%	96.6%
DK/REF	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	1.0%	3.0%	1.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cross tabulation: *Support or Oppose More Clean Energy Development by Demographics*

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
Strongly support	46.0%	36.5%	25.9%	35.7%	16.0%	55.6%	36.3%
Support	34.2%	40.1%	46.6%	37.5%	52.0%	33.3%	39.9%
Oppose	6.8%	8.0%	7.8%	8.9%	8.0%		7.5%
Strongly oppose	1.2%	6.6%	6.0%	8.9%			4.6%
DK/REF	11.8%	8.8%	13.8%	8.9%	24.0%	11.1%	11.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
Strongly support	16.7%	32.1%	27.6%	35.0%	44.3%	44.4%	50.0%	36.4%
Support	33.3%	35.7%	39.3%	49.6%	34.3%	41.3%	33.3%	40.2%
Oppose	16.7%	10.7%	13.1%	1.7%	7.1%	1.6%		7.1%
Strongly oppose	16.7%	3.6%	5.5%	5.1%	3.6%	3.2%		4.6%
DK/REF	16.7%	17.9%	14.5%	8.5%	10.7%	9.5%	16.7%	11.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
Strongly support	34.3%	39.6%		25.0%	47.4%	36.8%	36.3%
Support	42.2%	35.6%		25.0%	42.1%	42.1%	40.0%
Oppose	7.3%	8.7%			5.3%		7.3%
Strongly oppose	3.8%	4.7%	100.0%	25.0%	5.3%	5.3%	4.5%
DK/REF	12.4%	11.4%		25.0%		15.8%	11.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
Strongly support	51.4%	31.8%	47.6%	34.7%	34.2%	45.7%	33.8%	26.4%	36.6%
Support	21.6%	40.9%	36.5%	40.0%	44.7%	32.6%	43.7%	45.1%	39.8%
Oppose	8.1%	6.8%	4.8%	9.3%	9.2%	2.2%	5.6%	9.9%	7.4%
Strongly oppose	5.4%	2.3%	4.8%	4.0%	2.6%	8.7%	8.5%	2.2%	4.6%
DK/REF	13.5%	18.2%	6.3%	12.0%	9.2%	10.9%	8.5%	16.5%	11.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly support	32.3%	40.1%	36.6%
Support	44.2%	36.8%	40.2%
Oppose	7.5%	6.9%	7.2%
Strongly oppose	5.3%	3.6%	4.4%
DK/REF	10.6%	12.6%	11.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Age categories

	Age categories						Total
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
Strongly support	38.2%	37.0%	32.9%	36.6%	40.5%	30.3%	36.2%
Support	39.2%	45.7%	41.8%	35.5%	42.9%	36.4%	40.2%
Oppose	4.9%	4.9%	8.9%	8.6%	4.8%	12.1%	7.1%
Strongly oppose	3.9%	4.9%	1.3%	6.5%	4.8%	4.5%	4.4%
DK/REF	13.7%	7.4%	15.2%	12.9%	7.1%	16.7%	12.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
Strongly support	35.4%	27.3%	47.3%	30.3%	41.0%	36.5%
Support	43.8%	38.4%	34.5%	41.4%	43.0%	40.1%
Oppose	5.2%	11.1%	6.4%	8.1%	5.0%	7.1%
Strongly oppose	5.2%	5.1%	4.5%	7.1%	1.0%	4.6%
DK/REF	10.4%	18.2%	7.3%	13.1%	10.0%	11.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cross tabulation: *Solar is Part of Providing Choice by Demographics*

Solar is part of providing choice? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
Strongly agree	40.4%	35.0%	30.4%	37.5%	28.0%	40.0%	35.7%
Agree	41.0%	39.4%	45.2%	39.3%	48.0%	50.0%	41.9%
Disagree	6.8%	5.1%	10.4%	5.4%	4.0%		6.7%
Strongly disagree	3.1%	8.0%	4.3%	7.1%	4.0%		5.2%
DK/REF	8.7%	12.4%	9.6%	10.7%	16.0%	10.0%	10.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar is part of providing choice? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
Strongly agree	14.3%	27.6%	26.2%	39.3%	42.6%	41.3%	33.3%	35.6%
Agree	42.9%	44.8%	44.8%	41.9%	37.6%	41.3%	50.0%	41.7%
Disagree		10.3%	9.0%	4.3%	4.3%	9.5%		6.5%
Strongly disagree	14.3%	3.4%	7.6%	5.1%	5.0%	1.6%		5.3%
DK/REF	28.6%	13.8%	12.4%	9.4%	10.6%	6.3%	16.7%	10.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar is part of providing choice? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
Strongly agree	38.0%	32.9%			36.8%	29.4%	35.9%
Agree	39.6%	43.6%		66.7%	57.9%	41.2%	41.6%
Disagree	7.7%	5.4%			5.3%	5.9%	6.8%
Strongly disagree	5.8%	4.7%				5.9%	5.2%
DK/REF	8.9%	13.4%	100.0%	33.3%		17.6%	10.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar is part of providing choice? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
Strongly agree	32.4%	26.1%	52.4%	30.7%	40.8%	57.8%	37.1%	18.7%	35.8%
Agree	32.4%	47.8%	33.3%	46.7%	42.1%	24.4%	45.7%	48.4%	41.6%
Disagree	10.8%	4.3%	1.6%	4.0%	6.6%	8.9%	7.1%	11.0%	6.8%
Strongly disagree		4.3%	4.8%	9.3%	5.3%	2.2%	4.3%	6.6%	5.2%
DK/REF	24.3%	17.4%	7.9%	9.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.7%	15.4%	10.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar is part of providing choice? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	36.4%	35.3%	35.8%
Agree	42.1%	41.4%	41.7%
Disagree	6.6%	6.8%	6.7%
Strongly disagree	6.1%	4.3%	5.1%
DK/REF	8.8%	12.2%	10.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar is part of providing choice? * Age categories

	Age categories						Total
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
Strongly agree	35.3%	44.4%	42.3%	37.2%	32.1%	21.5%	35.9%
Agree	48.0%	39.5%	35.9%	44.7%	39.3%	41.5%	41.9%
Disagree	2.9%	6.2%	7.7%	7.4%	4.8%	13.8%	6.7%
Strongly disagree	5.9%	2.5%	5.1%		10.7%	6.2%	5.0%
DK/REF	7.8%	7.4%	9.0%	10.6%	13.1%	16.9%	10.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar is part of providing choice? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
Strongly agree	37.5%	32.0%	40.9%	30.7%	36.6%	35.6%
Agree	41.7%	39.0%	43.6%	43.6%	40.6%	41.7%
Disagree	2.1%	9.0%	9.1%	8.9%	4.0%	6.7%
Strongly disagree	10.4%	8.0%	0.9%	5.0%	3.0%	5.3%
DK/REF	8.3%	12.0%	5.5%	11.9%	15.8%	10.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cross tabulation: *Right to Choose Where Energy Comes From by Demographics*

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
Yes	92.6%	95.6%	90.4%	93.0%	100.0%	100.0%	93.5%
No	3.7%	1.5%	4.3%	3.5%			3.0%
DK/REF	3.7%	2.9%	5.2%	3.5%			3.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
Yes	100.0%	86.2%	96.6%	92.3%	93.6%	90.5%	100.0%	93.5%
No		10.3%	1.4%	1.7%	2.9%	6.3%		3.0%
DK/REF		3.4%	2.1%	6.0%	3.6%	3.2%		3.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
Yes	93.3%	93.3%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0%	100.0%	93.5%
No	2.5%	4.0%			5.0%		3.0%
DK/REF	4.1%	2.7%			5.0%		3.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
Yes	83.8%	91.1%	96.8%	96.1%	92.1%	95.6%	95.8%	91.2%	93.3%
No	5.4%	2.2%	1.6%		3.9%	2.2%	2.8%	5.5%	3.0%
DK/REF	10.8%	6.7%	1.6%	3.9%	3.9%	2.2%	1.4%	3.3%	3.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Yes	96.0%	91.7%	93.7%
No	1.8%	3.6%	2.8%
DK/REF	2.2%	4.7%	3.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Age categories

	Age categories						Total
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
Yes	96.1%	97.6%	97.4%	93.5%	91.7%	82.1%	93.5%
No	1.0%	1.2%	1.3%	3.2%	4.8%	7.5%	3.0%
DK/REF	2.9%	1.2%	1.3%	3.2%	3.6%	10.4%	3.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Right to choose where energy comes from? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
Yes	92.6%	97.0%	94.5%	95.0%	89.0%	93.7%
No	4.2%	1.0%	2.8%	3.0%	4.0%	3.0%
DK/REF	3.2%	2.0%	2.8%	2.0%	7.0%	3.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cross tabulation: Effort by Louisiana to Make Renewable Energy Affordable by Demographics

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
More affordable	80.1%	70.1%	64.3%	75.0%	73.1%	60.0%	72.5%
Less affordable	6.2%	2.2%	4.3%		3.8%		3.8%
Do nothing	7.5%	22.6%	23.5%	19.6%	3.8%	20.0%	16.6%
DK/REF	6.2%	5.1%	7.8%	5.4%	19.2%	20.0%	7.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
More affordable	50.0%	60.7%	72.9%	76.9%	73.0%	67.2%	100.0%	72.5%
Less affordable	16.7%	7.1%	6.9%	3.4%	0.7%	1.6%		3.8%
Do nothing	16.7%	21.4%	15.3%	9.4%	19.1%	25.0%		16.4%
DK/REF	16.7%	10.7%	4.9%	10.3%	7.1%	6.3%		7.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
More affordable	67.9%	80.5%		100.0%	89.5%	61.1%	72.3%
Less affordable	2.2%	6.7%				11.1%	3.8%
Do nothing	21.9%	6.0%			10.5%	16.7%	16.4%
DK/REF	7.9%	6.7%	100.0%			11.1%	7.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
More affordable	67.6%	77.8%	82.8%	68.4%	75.3%	68.9%	69.0%	70.3%	72.5%
Less affordable	5.4%	6.7%	3.1%	6.6%	3.9%			4.4%	3.8%
Do nothing	10.8%	13.3%	9.4%	15.8%	14.3%	24.4%	25.4%	16.5%	16.4%
DK/REF	16.2%	2.2%	4.7%	9.2%	6.5%	6.7%	5.6%	8.8%	7.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
More affordable	65.6%	78.1%	72.5%
Less affordable	3.1%	4.3%	3.8%
Do nothing	23.8%	10.4%	16.4%
DK/REF	7.5%	7.2%	7.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Age categories

	Age categories						Total
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
More affordable	74.5%	79.0%	65.8%	74.2%	73.5%	66.7%	72.6%
Less affordable	2.0%	2.5%	3.8%	6.5%	4.8%	3.0%	3.8%
Do nothing	14.7%	16.0%	22.8%	12.9%	13.3%	19.7%	16.3%
DK/REF	8.8%	2.5%	7.6%	6.5%	8.4%	10.6%	7.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
More affordable	71.9%	62.6%	80.0%	71.0%	77.0%	72.7%
Less affordable		5.1%	3.6%	4.0%	5.0%	3.6%
Do nothing	20.8%	24.2%	13.6%	15.0%	9.0%	16.4%
DK/REF	7.3%	8.1%	2.7%	10.0%	9.0%	7.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cross tabulation: *Customer Deserve Retail Credit by Demographics*

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
Strongly agree	50.0%	41.3%	43.1%	46.4%	30.8%	33.3%	44.4%
Agree	34.6%	39.9%	41.4%	42.9%	38.5%	33.3%	38.7%
Disagree	4.9%	4.3%	3.4%		3.8%	11.1%	3.9%
Strongly disagree	4.3%	1.4%	4.3%	1.8%	3.8%		3.2%
DK/REF	6.2%	13.0%	7.8%	8.9%	23.1%	22.2%	9.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
Strongly agree	33.3%	51.7%	36.8%	42.4%	50.0%	52.4%	50.0%	44.7%
Agree	66.7%	27.6%	44.4%	40.7%	33.6%	36.5%	33.3%	38.7%
Disagree			4.9%	4.2%	3.6%	3.2%		3.8%
Strongly disagree		13.8%	2.1%	2.5%	2.9%	1.6%		3.0%
DK/REF		6.9%	11.8%	10.2%	10.0%	6.3%	16.7%	9.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
Strongly agree	48.1%	41.3%		50.0%	31.6%	21.1%	44.4%
Agree	36.9%	40.7%	100.0%	50.0%	47.4%	42.1%	38.9%
Disagree	3.2%	4.7%				10.5%	3.7%
Strongly disagree	2.9%	4.0%			5.3%		3.2%
DK/REF	8.9%	9.3%			15.8%	26.3%	9.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
Strongly agree	35.1%	41.3%	51.6%	48.7%	48.1%	51.1%	42.3%	37.4%	44.6%
Agree	37.8%	43.5%	30.6%	36.8%	37.7%	40.0%	46.5%	37.4%	38.6%
Disagree	5.4%	4.3%	1.6%	5.3%	5.2%	2.2%	4.2%	3.3%	4.0%
Strongly disagree	8.1%		6.5%	1.3%		4.4%		6.6%	3.2%
DK/REF	13.5%	10.9%	9.7%	7.9%	9.1%	2.2%	7.0%	15.4%	9.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly agree	48.2%	42.1%	44.8%
Agree	38.1%	39.2%	38.7%
Disagree	3.5%	4.0%	3.8%
Strongly disagree	3.1%	2.9%	3.0%
DK/REF	7.1%	11.9%	9.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Age

		Age Categories						Total
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
	Strongly agree	41.6%	51.2%	44.3%	51.6%	44.0%	33.3%	44.8%
	Agree	40.6%	42.7%	38.0%	39.8%	36.9%	34.8%	39.0%
	Disagree	5.0%	1.2%	3.8%	2.2%	3.6%	6.1%	3.6%
	Strongly disagree	3.0%		1.3%	4.3%	3.6%	6.1%	3.0%
	DK/REF	9.9%	4.9%	12.7%	2.2%	11.9%	19.7%	9.7%
Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
Strongly agree	47.4%	38.0%	43.6%	50.5%	44.0%	44.7%
Agree	30.9%	45.0%	42.7%	36.6%	37.0%	38.6%
Disagree	5.2%	1.0%	2.7%	5.0%	5.0%	3.7%
Strongly disagree	5.2%	6.0%	0.9%	3.0%	1.0%	3.1%
DK/REF	11.3%	10.0%	10.0%	5.0%	13.0%	9.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cross tabulation: *Solar Panels Charged Additional Fee by Demographics*

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
Strongly approve	4.3%	4.4%	8.7%	1.8%			4.8%
Approve	8.7%	8.8%	9.6%	16.1%	4.0%		9.3%
Disapprove	29.8%	29.9%	37.4%	32.1%	56.0%	60.0%	33.7%
Strongly disapprove	50.3%	43.1%	33.0%	46.4%	20.0%	20.0%	41.9%
DK/REF	6.8%	13.9%	11.3%	3.6%	20.0%	20.0%	10.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
Strongly approve	28.6%		4.8%	6.0%	3.6%	7.8%		5.1%
Approve	14.3%	10.0%	11.7%	6.0%	8.6%	10.9%	16.7%	9.4%
Disapprove	14.3%	23.3%	39.3%	37.6%	32.9%	21.9%	16.7%	33.4%
Strongly disapprove	42.9%	60.0%	34.5%	44.4%	42.9%	42.2%	50.0%	41.8%
DK/REF		6.7%	9.7%	6.0%	12.1%	17.2%	16.7%	10.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
Strongly approve	5.8%	2.7%	100.0%		5.0%		4.7%
Approve	10.2%	8.0%		25.0%	5.0%	15.8%	9.7%
Disapprove	30.4%	36.0%		50.0%	45.0%	52.6%	33.5%
Strongly disapprove	41.5%	46.0%		25.0%	30.0%	31.6%	41.8%
DK/REF	12.1%	7.3%			15.0%		10.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
Strongly approve	2.7%	6.7%	6.3%	3.9%	6.6%	6.5%	4.2%	3.3%	4.9%
Approve	13.5%	4.4%	3.1%	11.8%	9.2%	13.0%	11.1%	13.0%	10.0%
Disapprove	40.5%	24.4%	37.5%	34.2%	26.3%	21.7%	33.3%	41.3%	33.1%
Strongly disapprove	43.2%	44.4%	48.4%	39.5%	46.1%	50.0%	36.1%	33.7%	41.7%
DK/REF		20.0%	4.7%	10.5%	11.8%	8.7%	15.3%	8.7%	10.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Strongly approve	4.9%	4.7%	4.8%
Approve	8.8%	10.0%	9.5%
Disapprove	32.7%	34.1%	33.5%
Strongly disapprove	42.9%	41.2%	42.0%
DK/REF	10.6%	10.0%	10.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Age categories

	Age categories						Total
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
Strongly approve	3.9%	4.9%	5.1%	4.3%	3.5%	7.6%	4.7%
Approve	18.6%	9.8%	7.7%	4.3%	7.1%	10.6%	9.9%
Disapprove	37.3%	28.0%	30.8%	31.2%	30.6%	42.4%	33.2%
Strongly disapprove	34.3%	54.9%	33.3%	53.8%	50.6%	19.7%	41.9%
DK/REF	5.9%	2.4%	23.1%	6.5%	8.2%	19.7%	10.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Solar panels charged additional fee? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
Strongly approve	8.4%	5.0%	3.6%	5.0%	2.0%	4.7%
Approve	6.3%	17.0%	4.5%	7.0%	13.9%	9.7%
Disapprove	25.3%	28.0%	36.4%	37.0%	40.6%	33.6%
Strongly disapprove	50.5%	31.0%	50.9%	41.0%	35.6%	41.9%
DK/REF	9.5%	19.0%	4.5%	10.0%	7.9%	10.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cross tabulation: *State Should Lift Cap or Restrict Growth of Solar Energy by Demographics*

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering	72.8%	76.6%	75.7%	80.7%	56.0%	40.0%	73.9%
Continue restricting solar net metering	9.3%	8.8%	13.0%		4.0%	20.0%	8.9%
DK/REF	17.9%	14.6%	11.3%	19.3%	40.0%	40.0%	17.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering	33.3%	58.6%	63.4%	84.6%	80.0%	76.6%	40.0%	73.7%
Continue restricting solar net metering	50.0%	10.3%	10.3%	6.8%	5.7%	10.9%	20.0%	8.9%
DK/REF	16.7%	31.0%	26.2%	8.5%	14.3%	12.5%	40.0%	17.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering	75.5%	71.1%	100.0%	50.0%	84.2%	61.1%	73.9%
Continue restricting solar net metering	8.9%	8.7%		25.0%	5.3%	5.6%	8.7%
DK/REF	15.6%	20.1%		25.0%	10.5%	33.3%	17.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering	54.1%	66.7%	87.1%	75.0%	68.8%	84.8%	81.7%	70.0%	74.2%
Continue restricting solar net metering	10.8%	6.7%	3.2%	10.5%	10.4%	6.5%	5.6%	12.2%	8.5%
DK/REF	35.1%	26.7%	9.7%	14.5%	20.8%	8.7%	12.7%	17.8%	17.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering	77.1%	71.6%	74.1%
Continue restricting solar net metering	5.7%	11.2%	8.7%
DK/REF	17.2%	17.3%	17.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Age categories

	Age categories						Total
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering	77.2%	86.4%	67.1%	79.8%	76.2%	50.7%	73.9%
Continue restricting solar net metering	9.9%	2.5%	10.1%	7.4%	8.3%	16.4%	8.9%
DK/REF	12.9%	11.1%	22.8%	12.8%	15.5%	32.8%	17.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering	79.2%	65.0%	72.7%	77.0%	76.2%	74.0%
Continue restricting solar net metering	8.3%	10.0%	8.2%	7.0%	9.9%	8.7%
DK/REF	12.5%	25.0%	19.1%	16.0%	13.9%	17.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Cross tabulation: *How Likely to Vote For Candidate who Supports Restrictive Caps by Demographics*

Vote for political candidate? * Party identification

	Party identification						Total
	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Other	No preference/ none/neither	DK/REF	
Very likely	9.9%	5.8%	5.2%	12.3%	4.0%		7.5%
Likely	17.3%	11.7%	18.3%	10.5%	16.0%	20.0%	15.2%
Not likely	28.4%	29.9%	33.0%	24.6%	28.0%	20.0%	29.2%
Not at all likely	33.3%	32.8%	22.6%	31.6%	20.0%	60.0%	30.4%
DK/REF	11.1%	19.7%	20.9%	21.1%	32.0%		17.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote for political candidate? * Education

	Highest grade of school							Total
	Grade school	Grades 9 to 11	High school	Some college	College degree	Graduate/ professional degree	DK/REF	
Very likely	16.7%	10.3%	8.3%	9.4%	7.1%	1.6%	20.0%	7.7%
Likely		34.5%	17.9%	12.0%	12.9%	15.6%		15.4%
Not likely	33.3%	13.8%	26.9%	30.8%	33.6%	29.7%	40.0%	29.4%
Not at all likely	33.3%	34.5%	31.0%	28.2%	25.0%	39.1%	40.0%	30.0%
DK/REF	16.7%	6.9%	15.9%	19.7%	21.4%	14.1%		17.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote for political candidate? * Race

	Respondent race						Total
	White	Black	Asian	Hispanic	Other	DK/REF	
Very likely	4.5%	12.8%			10.5%	11.8%	7.4%
Likely	15.7%	16.8%				17.6%	15.3%
Not likely	29.7%	27.5%		50.0%	36.8%	29.4%	29.4%
Not at all likely	30.7%	30.9%	100.0%	25.0%	36.8%	11.8%	30.4%
DK/REF	19.5%	12.1%		25.0%	15.8%	29.4%	17.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote for political candidate? * Income

	Respondent income								Total
	Below \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$25,000	\$26,000 to \$40,000	\$41,000 to \$60,000	\$61,000 to \$80,000	\$81,000 to \$100,000	Over \$100,000	DK/REF	
Very likely	8.1%	6.7%	15.9%	10.7%	7.8%	2.2%	5.7%	3.3%	7.6%
Likely	21.6%	20.0%	11.1%	18.7%	16.9%	4.3%	15.7%	15.6%	15.5%
Not likely	29.7%	33.3%	31.7%	22.7%	26.0%	26.1%	34.3%	30.0%	29.0%
Not at all likely	27.0%	26.7%	34.9%	30.7%	26.0%	39.1%	30.0%	30.0%	30.4%
DK/REF	13.5%	13.3%	6.3%	17.3%	23.4%	28.3%	14.3%	21.1%	17.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote for political candidate? * Gender

	Respondent gender		Total
	Male	Female	
Very likely	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%
Likely	14.2%	16.1%	15.2%
Not likely	27.9%	30.5%	29.3%
Not at all likely	30.5%	30.5%	30.5%
DK/REF	19.9%	15.4%	17.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote for political candidate? * Age categories

	Age categories						Total
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 to 69	70 and over	
Very likely	5.0%	11.0%	9.0%	4.3%	7.1%	9.1%	7.4%
Likely	24.8%	14.6%	9.0%	15.2%	11.9%	13.6%	15.3%
Not likely	27.7%	22.0%	34.6%	30.4%	33.3%	28.8%	29.4%
Not at all likely	26.7%	31.7%	29.5%	33.7%	31.0%	30.3%	30.4%
DK/REF	15.8%	20.7%	17.9%	16.3%	16.7%	18.2%	17.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Vote for political candidate? * PSC District

	PSC District					Total
	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	
Very likely	3.2%	9.0%	11.7%	8.9%	4.0%	7.5%
Likely	13.7%	19.0%	14.4%	13.9%	16.0%	15.4%
Not likely	32.6%	22.0%	29.7%	30.7%	32.0%	29.4%
Not at all likely	33.7%	27.0%	29.7%	33.7%	28.0%	30.4%
DK/REF	16.8%	23.0%	14.4%	12.9%	20.0%	17.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%