

Louisiana Poll Report

Edward Chervenak, PhD

The findings reported here are from a telephone survey of 505 registered voters in Louisiana who were polled between February 10th and 13th, 2014. The sample was stratified by the five Public Service Commission (PSC) Districts to assure there were an equal number of respondents in each of the districts. Respondents were asked questions on renewable energy policies in Louisiana. In addition to reporting the frequencies, a series of cross-tabulations are presented that breaks down each question by the demographic variables of party identification, education, race, income, gender, age, as well as by the PSC district where the respondent resided.

Does your household have solar panels?

To begin with, only about two percent of the people interviewed reported having solar panels at their household. That figure did not vary by much when it came to party identification, education, gender, or race. There were some income based differences, with nearly 7% of those earning between \$81,000 and \$100,000 reporting they had solar panels. The age group with the most experience with solar power was the 30 to 39 age category. PSC district 1 had the highest rate of respondents saying they had solar panels at their household.

Do you support or oppose more development of clean energy resources like wind, solar and biomass in Louisiana?

A large majority – 76.5% – supported the development of solar, wind and biomass in Louisiana, with nearly half of them strongly supporting it. Democrats, Independents and Republicans are all in favor of this development. Support for development of clean energy resources steadily increases as education level rises. Only 50% of people with a grade school education supported clean energy development, compared to 78% of college graduates. Blacks and whites were equally supportive of a clean energy policy and there were no real differences across income categories. Men and women were equally supportive overall, but women were more likely than men to say they strongly supported more clean energy development (40% to 32%). Every age group was heavily supportive of clean energy development, with those 70 and over being the least supportive. PSC district 2 was the least in favor of this policy while districts 1, 3, and 5 were the most supportive.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: The opportunity for homeowners to go solar is an important part of providing choice and competition in electricity?

Another large majority – 77% – agreed that an opportunity for homeowners to go solar was an important for providing choice and competition in electricity generation. There was broad agreement on this statement across party identification, race, gender, age, and PSC district. Education levels appeared to influence opinion as only 57% of those with a grade school education, compared to 80% of college graduates, agreed that solar was part of providing choice. Income also had a moderate effect as those earning below \$10,000 were less likely to agree than did respondents in the other income categories.

Do you believe you should have the right to choose where your energy comes from?

The poll confirmed the people’s desire to have the right to choose when it came to deciding on their energy. The supermajority of the respondents, 93.5% believed that they should have the right to choose where their energy should come from. That sentiment was almost universally expressed by every demographic. At least 90% reported that they believed they had the right to choose where their energy comes from regardless of party identification, race, gender, and PSC district. There was some variation, albeit not to a great degree for respondents with some high school, for those who earned less than \$10,000, and those who were age 70 and over.

Should the Louisiana government make an effort to make more renewable energy like solar power more affordable, less affordable or should we do nothing to affect its cost?

The respondents also supported the statement that Louisiana government should make an effort to provide consumers with more affordable renewable energy like solar power. Democrats were very supportive of this effort, while Independents were less supportive, and Republicans even less so. Nearly one-fourth of Republicans said the state should do nothing as did nearly the same percentage of Independents. One-half people with a grade school education said the state should make an effort to make solar more affordable. They were also twice as likely as any other educational level to state that the state should make solar power less affordable. Attitudes differed by race on whether the Louisiana government should get involved in making solar more or less affordable. While majorities of whites and blacks agreed that the state should make an effort to make renewable energy more affordable, whites were over three times more likely to say the government should do nothing. Attitudes based on income indicated that the two wealthiest categories, while supportive of efforts to make solar more affordable, were also most likely to say that government should do nothing. There was a gender gap on this question as 78% of women, compared to 66% of men, thought government should make solar more affordable. Men were twice as likely to say that government should do

nothing. There were no discernible differences based on age. PSC district 3 was most supportive of government efforts to make renewable energy more affordable, while PSC district 2 was the least supportive district.

Now I am going to read you the following statement:

“Net metering” is the system to track how much energy customers' solar panels generate compared to how much energy they use. If a customer's solar panels produce more electricity than that customer uses, the customer gets a credit on their bill. If the customer uses more power than their solar panels generate, the customers get charged for that extra electricity, just like any other customer would.”

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Customers deserve to continue receiving retail credit for the energy they produce, as currently proscribed by Louisiana's Net Metering law?

A substantial majority of the respondents also expressed support for continuing the retail credit from the energy that households produced with their solar panels. A near majority of the participants in the poll strongly agreed that customers deserved to continue to receive retail credit for the energy they produced. There was wide-ranging agreement on this statement across party identification, education, race, income, gender, age, and PSC district.

Do you approve or disapprove of the utilities' proposal that customers with net metered solar panels should be charged an additional fee?

At the same time, the poll showed there was strong disapproval of the idea that customers should be charged an additional fee for the use of net-metered solar panels. Three-quarters of respondents disapproved of this measure, with 42% strongly disapproving. Democrats were more disapproving than Independents who, in turn, were more disapproving than Republicans. While a majority of the least educated disapproved of the additional fees, they were also the most supportive of additional fees. Large majorities of all other age groups disapproved. There was widespread disapproval across race, income, gender. On age, those who were 18-29 were more likely to approve to additional fees than was any other age group. There was some variation across PSC districts with district 2 being slightly more in favor of additional fees while district 3 was the most disapproving of them.

Louisiana's current law has some of the most restrictive limits on how many homeowners can use net metering. Do you think the state should lift the cap and allow more individuals to receive retail credit for the solar energy they produce? Or do you think the state should restrict the growth of solar energy by capping how many people can net meter?

The answer to this question was that respondents overwhelmingly believed that Louisiana should lift the cap and allow more individuals to get solar net metering. Widespread support was found across party identification and race. Differences in support was found in education levels as one-third of those with a grade school education, compared to 80% of college graduates, favored allowing more individuals get solar with net metering. Attitudes on this question were also tied slightly to income. The poorest respondents were the least supportive of lifting the caps, but over one-third of them reported they were unsure of how they felt about this issue. Men were more supportive than women by a slight margin on lifting the caps. As far as age, the eldest age category expressed the least support for lifting the caps and the most support for restricting them. Additionally, one-third of them were not sure about their position on this issue.

How likely are you to vote for a political candidate who supports imposing restrictive caps and charging additional fees for customers with solar panels?

Respondents were not willing to back a political candidate who supported imposing restrictive caps and charging additional fees for customers with solar panels. Six-in-ten said they would be less likely to vote for that type of candidate, with 23% answering they would be more likely, and another 18% unsure of where they stood on this question. There wasn't much variation across party identification, although Democrats were more likely than both Independents and Republicans to vote for candidates who supported restricted caps and additional fees for solar customers. The outlier in the educational categories was in grade 9 to 11 as these particular respondents were almost evenly split on whether or not they would back a candidate who promoted caps and fee on solar power customers. All other educational levels expressed majority sentiment against supporting a candidate who advocated anti-solar policies. Blacks were more likely than whites to vote for an anti-solar candidate (30% to 21%). There was little deviation across income groups, with the exception being the wealthiest one. Only 7% said they were likely to vote for an anti-solar candidate, compared to all the other income groups where backing for an anti-solar candidate ranged between the mid-to-high twenties. Younger respondents were a bit more supportive of anti-solar candidates than were older ones. Respondents in PSC district 1 were the least likely to back a candidate promoting caps and fees, while district 2 was the most supportive of that type of candidate.

Survey Methodology

Results for this poll are based on telephone interviews conducted February 10 - 13, 2014, with a random sample of 505 registered voters, aged 18 and older, living in the state of Louisiana.

For results based on the total sample of registered voters, the margin of sampling error is ± 4.3 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

Sample matches the demographics of age, gender, and race in the larger population.

Sample is stratified by Public Service Commission District.

Louisiana Poll Frequencies

Are you, or is anyone else in your household, employed by a newspaper, television or radio station, or a political party, or by a candidate for political office?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| No | 505 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Does your household have solar panels?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Yes | 10 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| No | 489 | 96.9 | 98.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 499 | 98.7 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 6 | 1.3 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Do you support or oppose more development of clean energy resources like wind, solar and biomass in Louisiana?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Strongly support | 184 | 36.4 | 41.3 | 41.3 |
| Support | 202 | 40.1 | 45.4 | 86.7 |
| Oppose | 37 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 94.9 |
| Strongly oppose | 23 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 446 | 88.2 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 59 | 11.8 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: The opportunity for homeowners to go solar is an important part of providing choice and competition in electricity?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Strongly agree | 181 | 35.8 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| Agree | 210 | 41.7 | 46.6 | 86.8 |
| Disagree | 34 | 6.7 | 7.5 | 94.3 |
| Strongly disagree | 26 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 451 | 89.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 54 | 10.6 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Do you believe you should have the right to choose where your energy comes from?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Yes | 472 | 93.5 | 97.0 | 97.0 |
| No | 15 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 487 | 96.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 18 | 3.6 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Should the Louisiana government make an effort to make more renewable energy like solar power more affordable, less affordable or should we do nothing to affect its cost?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| More affordable | 366 | 72.5 | 78.3 | 78.3 |
| Less affordable | 19 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 82.2 |
| Do nothing | 83 | 16.5 | 17.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 468 | 92.7 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 37 | 7.3 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Now I am going to read you the following statement:

"Net metering" is the system to track how much energy customers' solar panels generate compared to how much energy they use. If a customer's solar panels produce more electricity than that customer uses, the customer gets a credit on their bill. If the customer uses more power than their solar panels generate, the customers get charged for that extra electricity, just like any other customer would.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Customers deserve to continue receiving retail credit for the energy they produce, as currently proscribed by Louisiana's Net Metering law?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Strongly agree | 226 | 44.7 | 49.5 | 49.5 |
| Agree | 196 | 38.8 | 43.0 | 92.5 |
| Disagree | 19 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 96.7 |
| Strongly disagree | 15 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 456 | 90.2 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 49 | 9.8 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Do you approve or disapprove of the utilities' proposal that customers with net metered solar panels should be charged an additional fee?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Strongly approve | 24 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Approve | 48 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 16.1 |
| Disapprove | 169 | 33.5 | 37.3 | 53.4 |
| Strongly disapprove | 212 | 41.9 | 46.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 453 | 89.8 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 52 | 10.2 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Louisiana's current law has some of the most restrictive limits on how many homeowners can use net metering. Do you think the state should lift the cap and allow more individuals to receive retail credit for the solar energy they produce? Or do you think the state should restrict the growth of solar energy by capping how many people can net meter?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Allow more individuals to get solar net metering | 374 | 74.1 | 89.5 | 89.5 |
| Continue restricting solar net metering | 44 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 418 | 82.7 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 87 | 17.3 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

How likely are you to vote for a political candidate who supports imposing restrictive caps and charging additional fees for customers with solar panels?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Very likely | 38 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| Likely | 77 | 15.3 | 18.6 | 27.6 |
| Not likely | 148 | 29.3 | 35.5 | 63.1 |
| Not at all likely | 154 | 30.4 | 36.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 417 | 82.5 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 88 | 17.5 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Generally speaking do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or what?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Democrat | 161 | 32.0 | 32.6 | 32.6 |
| Independent | 137 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 60.2 |
| Republican | 115 | 22.9 | 23.3 | 83.5 |
| Other | 57 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 94.9 |
| No preference/ none/neither | 25 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 495 | 98.2 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 10 | 1.8 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

What is the highest grade of school that you have completed?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Grade school | 6 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Grades 9 to 11 | 29 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 6.9 |
| High school | 144 | 28.6 | 28.9 | 35.9 |
| Some college | 117 | 23.1 | 23.4 | 59.3 |
| College degree | 140 | 27.7 | 28.0 | 87.3 |
| Graduate/ professional degree | 64 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 500 | 98.9 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 5 | 1.1 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

What is your race?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| White | 314 | 62.1 | 64.4 | 64.4 |
| Black | 149 | 29.6 | 30.7 | 95.1 |
| Asian | 1 | .2 | .2 | 95.3 |
| Hispanic | 4 | .7 | .8 | 96.0 |
| Other | 19 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 487 | 96.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 18 | 3.6 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Finally, as I read some categories of income, please tell me when I get to your **TOTAL** annual family income

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Below \$10,000 | 37 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
| \$10,000 to \$25,000 | 45 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 19.8 |
| \$26,000 to \$40,000 | 63 | 12.4 | 15.2 | 35.0 |
| \$41,000 to \$60,000 | 76 | 15.0 | 18.3 | 53.3 |
| \$61,000 to \$80,000 | 77 | 15.2 | 18.6 | 71.8 |
| \$81,000 to \$100,000 | 45 | 9.0 | 10.9 | 82.7 |
| Over \$100,000 | 71 | 14.1 | 17.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 414 | 82.0 | 100.0 | |
| Missing DK/REF | 91 | 18.0 | | |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | | |

Age

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| 18 to 29 | 101 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 |
| 30 to 39 | 81 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 36.2 |
| 40 to 49 | 79 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 51.8 |
| 50 to 59 | 93 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 70.3 |
| 60 to 69 | 84 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 86.9 |
| 70 and over | 67 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Gender

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|--------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Male | 226 | 44.8 | 44.8 | 44.8 |
| Female | 279 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

PSC District

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| District 1 | 96 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| District 2 | 100 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 38.7 |
| District 3 | 109 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 60.5 |
| District 4 | 100 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 80.2 |
| District 5 | 100 | 19.8 | 19.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 505 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Louisiana Poll
Cross-tabulations

Cross tabulation: *Household have solar panels by Demographics*

Household have solar panels? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|--------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| Yes | 3.1% | 0.7% | 1.7% | 1.8% | | | 1.8% |
| No | 94.4% | 98.5% | 98.3% | 98.2% | 96.0% | 88.9% | 96.8% |
| DK/REF | 2.5% | 0.7% | | | 4.0% | 11.1% | 1.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Household have solar panels? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|--------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| Yes | | | 2.1% | 1.7% | 2.9% | 1.6% | | 2.0% |
| No | 100.0% | 100.0% | 95.2% | 97.4% | 96.4% | 96.9% | 100.0% | 96.6% |
| DK/REF | | | 2.8% | 0.9% | 0.7% | 1.6% | | 1.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Household have solar panels? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| Yes | 1.6% | 1.4% | | | | 5.6% | 1.6% |
| No | 98.1% | 95.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 94.4% | 97.2% |
| DK/REF | 0.3% | 3.4% | | | | | 1.2% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Household have solar panels? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| Yes | | 2.2% | 1.6% | | | 6.7% | 1.4% | 4.4% | 2.0% |
| No | 94.6% | 95.6% | 96.8% | 98.7% | 100.0% | 93.3% | 98.6% | 93.4% | 96.6% |
| DK/REF | 5.4% | 2.2% | 1.6% | 1.3% | | | | 2.2% | 1.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Household have solar panels? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Yes | 2.2% | 1.8% | 2.0% |
| No | 96.9% | 96.4% | 96.6% |
| DK/REF | 0.9% | 1.8% | 1.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Household have solar panels? * Age categories

| | Age categories | | | | | | Total |
|--------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| Yes | | 6.1% | | | 2.4% | 3.0% | 1.8% |
| No | 97.1% | 93.9% | 100.0% | 98.9% | 96.4% | 93.9% | 96.8% |
| DK/REF | 2.9% | | | 1.1% | 1.2% | 3.0% | 1.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Household have solar panels? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|--------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| Yes | 5.2% | | 1.8% | 1.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| No | 93.8% | 99.0% | 97.3% | 98.0% | 95.0% | 96.6% |
| DK/REF | 1.0% | 1.0% | 0.9% | 1.0% | 3.0% | 1.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cross tabulation: *Support or Oppose More Clean Energy Development by Demographics*

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| Strongly support | 46.0% | 36.5% | 25.9% | 35.7% | 16.0% | 55.6% | 36.3% |
| Support | 34.2% | 40.1% | 46.6% | 37.5% | 52.0% | 33.3% | 39.9% |
| Oppose | 6.8% | 8.0% | 7.8% | 8.9% | 8.0% | | 7.5% |
| Strongly oppose | 1.2% | 6.6% | 6.0% | 8.9% | | | 4.6% |
| DK/REF | 11.8% | 8.8% | 13.8% | 8.9% | 24.0% | 11.1% | 11.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| Strongly support | 16.7% | 32.1% | 27.6% | 35.0% | 44.3% | 44.4% | 50.0% | 36.4% |
| Support | 33.3% | 35.7% | 39.3% | 49.6% | 34.3% | 41.3% | 33.3% | 40.2% |
| Oppose | 16.7% | 10.7% | 13.1% | 1.7% | 7.1% | 1.6% | | 7.1% |
| Strongly oppose | 16.7% | 3.6% | 5.5% | 5.1% | 3.6% | 3.2% | | 4.6% |
| DK/REF | 16.7% | 17.9% | 14.5% | 8.5% | 10.7% | 9.5% | 16.7% | 11.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| Strongly support | 34.3% | 39.6% | | 25.0% | 47.4% | 36.8% | 36.3% |
| Support | 42.2% | 35.6% | | 25.0% | 42.1% | 42.1% | 40.0% |
| Oppose | 7.3% | 8.7% | | | 5.3% | | 7.3% |
| Strongly oppose | 3.8% | 4.7% | 100.0% | 25.0% | 5.3% | 5.3% | 4.5% |
| DK/REF | 12.4% | 11.4% | | 25.0% | | 15.8% | 11.8% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| Strongly support | 51.4% | 31.8% | 47.6% | 34.7% | 34.2% | 45.7% | 33.8% | 26.4% | 36.6% |
| Support | 21.6% | 40.9% | 36.5% | 40.0% | 44.7% | 32.6% | 43.7% | 45.1% | 39.8% |
| Oppose | 8.1% | 6.8% | 4.8% | 9.3% | 9.2% | 2.2% | 5.6% | 9.9% | 7.4% |
| Strongly oppose | 5.4% | 2.3% | 4.8% | 4.0% | 2.6% | 8.7% | 8.5% | 2.2% | 4.6% |
| DK/REF | 13.5% | 18.2% | 6.3% | 12.0% | 9.2% | 10.9% | 8.5% | 16.5% | 11.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Strongly support | 32.3% | 40.1% | 36.6% |
| Support | 44.2% | 36.8% | 40.2% |
| Oppose | 7.5% | 6.9% | 7.2% |
| Strongly oppose | 5.3% | 3.6% | 4.4% |
| DK/REF | 10.6% | 12.6% | 11.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * Age categories

| | Age categories | | | | | | Total |
|------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| Strongly support | 38.2% | 37.0% | 32.9% | 36.6% | 40.5% | 30.3% | 36.2% |
| Support | 39.2% | 45.7% | 41.8% | 35.5% | 42.9% | 36.4% | 40.2% |
| Oppose | 4.9% | 4.9% | 8.9% | 8.6% | 4.8% | 12.1% | 7.1% |
| Strongly oppose | 3.9% | 4.9% | 1.3% | 6.5% | 4.8% | 4.5% | 4.4% |
| DK/REF | 13.7% | 7.4% | 15.2% | 12.9% | 7.1% | 16.7% | 12.1% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Support or oppose more clean energy development? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| Strongly support | 35.4% | 27.3% | 47.3% | 30.3% | 41.0% | 36.5% |
| Support | 43.8% | 38.4% | 34.5% | 41.4% | 43.0% | 40.1% |
| Oppose | 5.2% | 11.1% | 6.4% | 8.1% | 5.0% | 7.1% |
| Strongly oppose | 5.2% | 5.1% | 4.5% | 7.1% | 1.0% | 4.6% |
| DK/REF | 10.4% | 18.2% | 7.3% | 13.1% | 10.0% | 11.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cross tabulation: *Solar is Part of Providing Choice by Demographics*

Solar is part of providing choice? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| Strongly agree | 40.4% | 35.0% | 30.4% | 37.5% | 28.0% | 40.0% | 35.7% |
| Agree | 41.0% | 39.4% | 45.2% | 39.3% | 48.0% | 50.0% | 41.9% |
| Disagree | 6.8% | 5.1% | 10.4% | 5.4% | 4.0% | | 6.7% |
| Strongly disagree | 3.1% | 8.0% | 4.3% | 7.1% | 4.0% | | 5.2% |
| DK/REF | 8.7% | 12.4% | 9.6% | 10.7% | 16.0% | 10.0% | 10.5% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar is part of providing choice? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| Strongly agree | 14.3% | 27.6% | 26.2% | 39.3% | 42.6% | 41.3% | 33.3% | 35.6% |
| Agree | 42.9% | 44.8% | 44.8% | 41.9% | 37.6% | 41.3% | 50.0% | 41.7% |
| Disagree | | 10.3% | 9.0% | 4.3% | 4.3% | 9.5% | | 6.5% |
| Strongly disagree | 14.3% | 3.4% | 7.6% | 5.1% | 5.0% | 1.6% | | 5.3% |
| DK/REF | 28.6% | 13.8% | 12.4% | 9.4% | 10.6% | 6.3% | 16.7% | 10.8% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar is part of providing choice? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| Strongly agree | 38.0% | 32.9% | | | 36.8% | 29.4% | 35.9% |
| Agree | 39.6% | 43.6% | | 66.7% | 57.9% | 41.2% | 41.6% |
| Disagree | 7.7% | 5.4% | | | 5.3% | 5.9% | 6.8% |
| Strongly disagree | 5.8% | 4.7% | | | | 5.9% | 5.2% |
| DK/REF | 8.9% | 13.4% | 100.0% | 33.3% | | 17.6% | 10.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar is part of providing choice? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| Strongly agree | 32.4% | 26.1% | 52.4% | 30.7% | 40.8% | 57.8% | 37.1% | 18.7% | 35.8% |
| Agree | 32.4% | 47.8% | 33.3% | 46.7% | 42.1% | 24.4% | 45.7% | 48.4% | 41.6% |
| Disagree | 10.8% | 4.3% | 1.6% | 4.0% | 6.6% | 8.9% | 7.1% | 11.0% | 6.8% |
| Strongly disagree | | 4.3% | 4.8% | 9.3% | 5.3% | 2.2% | 4.3% | 6.6% | 5.2% |
| DK/REF | 24.3% | 17.4% | 7.9% | 9.3% | 5.3% | 6.7% | 5.7% | 15.4% | 10.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar is part of providing choice? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Strongly agree | 36.4% | 35.3% | 35.8% |
| Agree | 42.1% | 41.4% | 41.7% |
| Disagree | 6.6% | 6.8% | 6.7% |
| Strongly disagree | 6.1% | 4.3% | 5.1% |
| DK/REF | 8.8% | 12.2% | 10.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar is part of providing choice? * Age categories

| | Age categories | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| Strongly agree | 35.3% | 44.4% | 42.3% | 37.2% | 32.1% | 21.5% | 35.9% |
| Agree | 48.0% | 39.5% | 35.9% | 44.7% | 39.3% | 41.5% | 41.9% |
| Disagree | 2.9% | 6.2% | 7.7% | 7.4% | 4.8% | 13.8% | 6.7% |
| Strongly disagree | 5.9% | 2.5% | 5.1% | | 10.7% | 6.2% | 5.0% |
| DK/REF | 7.8% | 7.4% | 9.0% | 10.6% | 13.1% | 16.9% | 10.5% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar is part of providing choice? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| Strongly agree | 37.5% | 32.0% | 40.9% | 30.7% | 36.6% | 35.6% |
| Agree | 41.7% | 39.0% | 43.6% | 43.6% | 40.6% | 41.7% |
| Disagree | 2.1% | 9.0% | 9.1% | 8.9% | 4.0% | 6.7% |
| Strongly disagree | 10.4% | 8.0% | 0.9% | 5.0% | 3.0% | 5.3% |
| DK/REF | 8.3% | 12.0% | 5.5% | 11.9% | 15.8% | 10.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cross tabulation: *Right to Choose Where Energy Comes From by Demographics*

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|--------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| Yes | 92.6% | 95.6% | 90.4% | 93.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 93.5% |
| No | 3.7% | 1.5% | 4.3% | 3.5% | | | 3.0% |
| DK/REF | 3.7% | 2.9% | 5.2% | 3.5% | | | 3.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|--------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| Yes | 100.0% | 86.2% | 96.6% | 92.3% | 93.6% | 90.5% | 100.0% | 93.5% |
| No | | 10.3% | 1.4% | 1.7% | 2.9% | 6.3% | | 3.0% |
| DK/REF | | 3.4% | 2.1% | 6.0% | 3.6% | 3.2% | | 3.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| Yes | 93.3% | 93.3% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 90.0% | 100.0% | 93.5% |
| No | 2.5% | 4.0% | | | 5.0% | | 3.0% |
| DK/REF | 4.1% | 2.7% | | | 5.0% | | 3.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| Yes | 83.8% | 91.1% | 96.8% | 96.1% | 92.1% | 95.6% | 95.8% | 91.2% | 93.3% |
| No | 5.4% | 2.2% | 1.6% | | 3.9% | 2.2% | 2.8% | 5.5% | 3.0% |
| DK/REF | 10.8% | 6.7% | 1.6% | 3.9% | 3.9% | 2.2% | 1.4% | 3.3% | 3.8% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Yes | 96.0% | 91.7% | 93.7% |
| No | 1.8% | 3.6% | 2.8% |
| DK/REF | 2.2% | 4.7% | 3.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Right to choose where energy comes from? * Age categories

| | Age categories | | | | | | Total |
|--------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| Yes | 96.1% | 97.6% | 97.4% | 93.5% | 91.7% | 82.1% | 93.5% |
| No | 1.0% | 1.2% | 1.3% | 3.2% | 4.8% | 7.5% | 3.0% |
| DK/REF | 2.9% | 1.2% | 1.3% | 3.2% | 3.6% | 10.4% | 3.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Right to choose where energy comes from? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|--------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| Yes | 92.6% | 97.0% | 94.5% | 95.0% | 89.0% | 93.7% |
| No | 4.2% | 1.0% | 2.8% | 3.0% | 4.0% | 3.0% |
| DK/REF | 3.2% | 2.0% | 2.8% | 2.0% | 7.0% | 3.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cross tabulation: *Effort by Louisiana to Make Renewable Energy Affordable by Demographics*

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| More affordable | 80.1% | 70.1% | 64.3% | 75.0% | 73.1% | 60.0% | 72.5% |
| Less affordable | 6.2% | 2.2% | 4.3% | | 3.8% | | 3.8% |
| Do nothing | 7.5% | 22.6% | 23.5% | 19.6% | 3.8% | 20.0% | 16.6% |
| DK/REF | 6.2% | 5.1% | 7.8% | 5.4% | 19.2% | 20.0% | 7.1% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| More affordable | 50.0% | 60.7% | 72.9% | 76.9% | 73.0% | 67.2% | 100.0% | 72.5% |
| Less affordable | 16.7% | 7.1% | 6.9% | 3.4% | 0.7% | 1.6% | | 3.8% |
| Do nothing | 16.7% | 21.4% | 15.3% | 9.4% | 19.1% | 25.0% | | 16.4% |
| DK/REF | 16.7% | 10.7% | 4.9% | 10.3% | 7.1% | 6.3% | | 7.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| More affordable | 67.9% | 80.5% | | 100.0% | 89.5% | 61.1% | 72.3% |
| Less affordable | 2.2% | 6.7% | | | | 11.1% | 3.8% |
| Do nothing | 21.9% | 6.0% | | | 10.5% | 16.7% | 16.4% |
| DK/REF | 7.9% | 6.7% | 100.0% | | | 11.1% | 7.5% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| More affordable | 67.6% | 77.8% | 82.8% | 68.4% | 75.3% | 68.9% | 69.0% | 70.3% | 72.5% |
| Less affordable | 5.4% | 6.7% | 3.1% | 6.6% | 3.9% | | | 4.4% | 3.8% |
| Do nothing | 10.8% | 13.3% | 9.4% | 15.8% | 14.3% | 24.4% | 25.4% | 16.5% | 16.4% |
| DK/REF | 16.2% | 2.2% | 4.7% | 9.2% | 6.5% | 6.7% | 5.6% | 8.8% | 7.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| More affordable | 65.6% | 78.1% | 72.5% |
| Less affordable | 3.1% | 4.3% | 3.8% |
| Do nothing | 23.8% | 10.4% | 16.4% |
| DK/REF | 7.5% | 7.2% | 7.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * Age categories

| | Age categories | | | | | | Total |
|-----------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| More affordable | 74.5% | 79.0% | 65.8% | 74.2% | 73.5% | 66.7% | 72.6% |
| Less affordable | 2.0% | 2.5% | 3.8% | 6.5% | 4.8% | 3.0% | 3.8% |
| Do nothing | 14.7% | 16.0% | 22.8% | 12.9% | 13.3% | 19.7% | 16.3% |
| DK/REF | 8.8% | 2.5% | 7.6% | 6.5% | 8.4% | 10.6% | 7.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Louisiana make an effort to make renewable energy affordable? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| More affordable | 71.9% | 62.6% | 80.0% | 71.0% | 77.0% | 72.7% |
| Less affordable | | 5.1% | 3.6% | 4.0% | 5.0% | 3.6% |
| Do nothing | 20.8% | 24.2% | 13.6% | 15.0% | 9.0% | 16.4% |
| DK/REF | 7.3% | 8.1% | 2.7% | 10.0% | 9.0% | 7.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cross tabulation: *Customer Deserve Retail Credit by Demographics*

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| Strongly agree | 50.0% | 41.3% | 43.1% | 46.4% | 30.8% | 33.3% | 44.4% |
| Agree | 34.6% | 39.9% | 41.4% | 42.9% | 38.5% | 33.3% | 38.7% |
| Disagree | 4.9% | 4.3% | 3.4% | | 3.8% | 11.1% | 3.9% |
| Strongly disagree | 4.3% | 1.4% | 4.3% | 1.8% | 3.8% | | 3.2% |
| DK/REF | 6.2% | 13.0% | 7.8% | 8.9% | 23.1% | 22.2% | 9.9% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| Strongly agree | 33.3% | 51.7% | 36.8% | 42.4% | 50.0% | 52.4% | 50.0% | 44.7% |
| Agree | 66.7% | 27.6% | 44.4% | 40.7% | 33.6% | 36.5% | 33.3% | 38.7% |
| Disagree | | | 4.9% | 4.2% | 3.6% | 3.2% | | 3.8% |
| Strongly disagree | | 13.8% | 2.1% | 2.5% | 2.9% | 1.6% | | 3.0% |
| DK/REF | | 6.9% | 11.8% | 10.2% | 10.0% | 6.3% | 16.7% | 9.9% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| Strongly agree | 48.1% | 41.3% | | 50.0% | 31.6% | 21.1% | 44.4% |
| Agree | 36.9% | 40.7% | 100.0% | 50.0% | 47.4% | 42.1% | 38.9% |
| Disagree | 3.2% | 4.7% | | | | 10.5% | 3.7% |
| Strongly disagree | 2.9% | 4.0% | | | 5.3% | | 3.2% |
| DK/REF | 8.9% | 9.3% | | | 15.8% | 26.3% | 9.9% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| Strongly agree | 35.1% | 41.3% | 51.6% | 48.7% | 48.1% | 51.1% | 42.3% | 37.4% | 44.6% |
| Agree | 37.8% | 43.5% | 30.6% | 36.8% | 37.7% | 40.0% | 46.5% | 37.4% | 38.6% |
| Disagree | 5.4% | 4.3% | 1.6% | 5.3% | 5.2% | 2.2% | 4.2% | 3.3% | 4.0% |
| Strongly disagree | 8.1% | | 6.5% | 1.3% | | 4.4% | | 6.6% | 3.2% |
| DK/REF | 13.5% | 10.9% | 9.7% | 7.9% | 9.1% | 2.2% | 7.0% | 15.4% | 9.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Strongly agree | 48.2% | 42.1% | 44.8% |
| Agree | 38.1% | 39.2% | 38.7% |
| Disagree | 3.5% | 4.0% | 3.8% |
| Strongly disagree | 3.1% | 2.9% | 3.0% |
| DK/REF | 7.1% | 11.9% | 9.7% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * Age

| | | Age Categories | | | | | | Total |
|-------|-------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| | Strongly agree | 41.6% | 51.2% | 44.3% | 51.6% | 44.0% | 33.3% | 44.8% |
| | Agree | 40.6% | 42.7% | 38.0% | 39.8% | 36.9% | 34.8% | 39.0% |
| | Disagree | 5.0% | 1.2% | 3.8% | 2.2% | 3.6% | 6.1% | 3.6% |
| | Strongly disagree | 3.0% | | 1.3% | 4.3% | 3.6% | 6.1% | 3.0% |
| | DK/REF | 9.9% | 4.9% | 12.7% | 2.2% | 11.9% | 19.7% | 9.7% |
| Total | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Customers deserve to receive retail credit? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| Strongly agree | 47.4% | 38.0% | 43.6% | 50.5% | 44.0% | 44.7% |
| Agree | 30.9% | 45.0% | 42.7% | 36.6% | 37.0% | 38.6% |
| Disagree | 5.2% | 1.0% | 2.7% | 5.0% | 5.0% | 3.7% |
| Strongly disagree | 5.2% | 6.0% | 0.9% | 3.0% | 1.0% | 3.1% |
| DK/REF | 11.3% | 10.0% | 10.0% | 5.0% | 13.0% | 9.8% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cross tabulation: *Solar Panels Charged Additional Fee by Demographics*

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| Strongly approve | 4.3% | 4.4% | 8.7% | 1.8% | | | 4.8% |
| Approve | 8.7% | 8.8% | 9.6% | 16.1% | 4.0% | | 9.3% |
| Disapprove | 29.8% | 29.9% | 37.4% | 32.1% | 56.0% | 60.0% | 33.7% |
| Strongly disapprove | 50.3% | 43.1% | 33.0% | 46.4% | 20.0% | 20.0% | 41.9% |
| DK/REF | 6.8% | 13.9% | 11.3% | 3.6% | 20.0% | 20.0% | 10.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| Strongly approve | 28.6% | | 4.8% | 6.0% | 3.6% | 7.8% | | 5.1% |
| Approve | 14.3% | 10.0% | 11.7% | 6.0% | 8.6% | 10.9% | 16.7% | 9.4% |
| Disapprove | 14.3% | 23.3% | 39.3% | 37.6% | 32.9% | 21.9% | 16.7% | 33.4% |
| Strongly disapprove | 42.9% | 60.0% | 34.5% | 44.4% | 42.9% | 42.2% | 50.0% | 41.8% |
| DK/REF | | 6.7% | 9.7% | 6.0% | 12.1% | 17.2% | 16.7% | 10.2% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| Strongly approve | 5.8% | 2.7% | 100.0% | | 5.0% | | 4.7% |
| Approve | 10.2% | 8.0% | | 25.0% | 5.0% | 15.8% | 9.7% |
| Disapprove | 30.4% | 36.0% | | 50.0% | 45.0% | 52.6% | 33.5% |
| Strongly disapprove | 41.5% | 46.0% | | 25.0% | 30.0% | 31.6% | 41.8% |
| DK/REF | 12.1% | 7.3% | | | 15.0% | | 10.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| Strongly approve | 2.7% | 6.7% | 6.3% | 3.9% | 6.6% | 6.5% | 4.2% | 3.3% | 4.9% |
| Approve | 13.5% | 4.4% | 3.1% | 11.8% | 9.2% | 13.0% | 11.1% | 13.0% | 10.0% |
| Disapprove | 40.5% | 24.4% | 37.5% | 34.2% | 26.3% | 21.7% | 33.3% | 41.3% | 33.1% |
| Strongly disapprove | 43.2% | 44.4% | 48.4% | 39.5% | 46.1% | 50.0% | 36.1% | 33.7% | 41.7% |
| DK/REF | | 20.0% | 4.7% | 10.5% | 11.8% | 8.7% | 15.3% | 8.7% | 10.2% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Strongly approve | 4.9% | 4.7% | 4.8% |
| Approve | 8.8% | 10.0% | 9.5% |
| Disapprove | 32.7% | 34.1% | 33.5% |
| Strongly disapprove | 42.9% | 41.2% | 42.0% |
| DK/REF | 10.6% | 10.0% | 10.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar panels charged additional fee? * Age categories

| | Age categories | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| Strongly approve | 3.9% | 4.9% | 5.1% | 4.3% | 3.5% | 7.6% | 4.7% |
| Approve | 18.6% | 9.8% | 7.7% | 4.3% | 7.1% | 10.6% | 9.9% |
| Disapprove | 37.3% | 28.0% | 30.8% | 31.2% | 30.6% | 42.4% | 33.2% |
| Strongly disapprove | 34.3% | 54.9% | 33.3% | 53.8% | 50.6% | 19.7% | 41.9% |
| DK/REF | 5.9% | 2.4% | 23.1% | 6.5% | 8.2% | 19.7% | 10.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Solar panels charged additional fee? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|---------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| Strongly approve | 8.4% | 5.0% | 3.6% | 5.0% | 2.0% | 4.7% |
| Approve | 6.3% | 17.0% | 4.5% | 7.0% | 13.9% | 9.7% |
| Disapprove | 25.3% | 28.0% | 36.4% | 37.0% | 40.6% | 33.6% |
| Strongly disapprove | 50.5% | 31.0% | 50.9% | 41.0% | 35.6% | 41.9% |
| DK/REF | 9.5% | 19.0% | 4.5% | 10.0% | 7.9% | 10.1% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cross tabulation: *State Should Lift Cap or Restrict Growth of Solar Energy by Demographics*

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|---|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering | 72.8% | 76.6% | 75.7% | 80.7% | 56.0% | 40.0% | 73.9% |
| Continue restricting solar net metering | 9.3% | 8.8% | 13.0% | | 4.0% | 20.0% | 8.9% |
| DK/REF | 17.9% | 14.6% | 11.3% | 19.3% | 40.0% | 40.0% | 17.2% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering | 33.3% | 58.6% | 63.4% | 84.6% | 80.0% | 76.6% | 40.0% | 73.7% |
| Continue restricting solar net metering | 50.0% | 10.3% | 10.3% | 6.8% | 5.7% | 10.9% | 20.0% | 8.9% |
| DK/REF | 16.7% | 31.0% | 26.2% | 8.5% | 14.3% | 12.5% | 40.0% | 17.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|---|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering | 75.5% | 71.1% | 100.0% | 50.0% | 84.2% | 61.1% | 73.9% |
| Continue restricting solar net metering | 8.9% | 8.7% | | 25.0% | 5.3% | 5.6% | 8.7% |
| DK/REF | 15.6% | 20.1% | | 25.0% | 10.5% | 33.3% | 17.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering | 54.1% | 66.7% | 87.1% | 75.0% | 68.8% | 84.8% | 81.7% | 70.0% | 74.2% |
| Continue restricting solar net metering | 10.8% | 6.7% | 3.2% | 10.5% | 10.4% | 6.5% | 5.6% | 12.2% | 8.5% |
| DK/REF | 35.1% | 26.7% | 9.7% | 14.5% | 20.8% | 8.7% | 12.7% | 17.8% | 17.3% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|---|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering | 77.1% | 71.6% | 74.1% |
| Continue restricting solar net metering | 5.7% | 11.2% | 8.7% |
| DK/REF | 17.2% | 17.3% | 17.2% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * Age categories

| | Age categories | | | | | | Total |
|---|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering | 77.2% | 86.4% | 67.1% | 79.8% | 76.2% | 50.7% | 73.9% |
| Continue restricting solar net metering | 9.9% | 2.5% | 10.1% | 7.4% | 8.3% | 16.4% | 8.9% |
| DK/REF | 12.9% | 11.1% | 22.8% | 12.8% | 15.5% | 32.8% | 17.2% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

State should lift cap or restrict growth of solar energy? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| Allow more individuals to get solar with net metering | 79.2% | 65.0% | 72.7% | 77.0% | 76.2% | 74.0% |
| Continue restricting solar net metering | 8.3% | 10.0% | 8.2% | 7.0% | 9.9% | 8.7% |
| DK/REF | 12.5% | 25.0% | 19.1% | 16.0% | 13.9% | 17.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Cross tabulation: *How Likely to Vote For Candidate who Supports Restrictive Caps by Demographics*

Vote for political candidate? * Party identification

| | Party identification | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Democrat | Independent | Republican | Other | No preference/ none/neither | DK/REF | |
| Very likely | 9.9% | 5.8% | 5.2% | 12.3% | 4.0% | | 7.5% |
| Likely | 17.3% | 11.7% | 18.3% | 10.5% | 16.0% | 20.0% | 15.2% |
| Not likely | 28.4% | 29.9% | 33.0% | 24.6% | 28.0% | 20.0% | 29.2% |
| Not at all likely | 33.3% | 32.8% | 22.6% | 31.6% | 20.0% | 60.0% | 30.4% |
| DK/REF | 11.1% | 19.7% | 20.9% | 21.1% | 32.0% | | 17.6% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Vote for political candidate? * Education

| | Highest grade of school | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | Grade school | Grades 9 to 11 | High school | Some college | College degree | Graduate/ professional degree | DK/REF | |
| Very likely | 16.7% | 10.3% | 8.3% | 9.4% | 7.1% | 1.6% | 20.0% | 7.7% |
| Likely | | 34.5% | 17.9% | 12.0% | 12.9% | 15.6% | | 15.4% |
| Not likely | 33.3% | 13.8% | 26.9% | 30.8% | 33.6% | 29.7% | 40.0% | 29.4% |
| Not at all likely | 33.3% | 34.5% | 31.0% | 28.2% | 25.0% | 39.1% | 40.0% | 30.0% |
| DK/REF | 16.7% | 6.9% | 15.9% | 19.7% | 21.4% | 14.1% | | 17.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Vote for political candidate? * Race

| | Respondent race | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | White | Black | Asian | Hispanic | Other | DK/REF | |
| Very likely | 4.5% | 12.8% | | | 10.5% | 11.8% | 7.4% |
| Likely | 15.7% | 16.8% | | | | 17.6% | 15.3% |
| Not likely | 29.7% | 27.5% | | 50.0% | 36.8% | 29.4% | 29.4% |
| Not at all likely | 30.7% | 30.9% | 100.0% | 25.0% | 36.8% | 11.8% | 30.4% |
| DK/REF | 19.5% | 12.1% | | 25.0% | 15.8% | 29.4% | 17.5% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Vote for political candidate? * Income

| | Respondent income | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | Below \$10,000 | \$10,000 to \$25,000 | \$26,000 to \$40,000 | \$41,000 to \$60,000 | \$61,000 to \$80,000 | \$81,000 to \$100,000 | Over \$100,000 | DK/REF | |
| Very likely | 8.1% | 6.7% | 15.9% | 10.7% | 7.8% | 2.2% | 5.7% | 3.3% | 7.6% |
| Likely | 21.6% | 20.0% | 11.1% | 18.7% | 16.9% | 4.3% | 15.7% | 15.6% | 15.5% |
| Not likely | 29.7% | 33.3% | 31.7% | 22.7% | 26.0% | 26.1% | 34.3% | 30.0% | 29.0% |
| Not at all likely | 27.0% | 26.7% | 34.9% | 30.7% | 26.0% | 39.1% | 30.0% | 30.0% | 30.4% |
| DK/REF | 13.5% | 13.3% | 6.3% | 17.3% | 23.4% | 28.3% | 14.3% | 21.1% | 17.5% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Vote for political candidate? * Gender

| | Respondent gender | | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | Male | Female | |
| Very likely | 7.5% | 7.5% | 7.5% |
| Likely | 14.2% | 16.1% | 15.2% |
| Not likely | 27.9% | 30.5% | 29.3% |
| Not at all likely | 30.5% | 30.5% | 30.5% |
| DK/REF | 19.9% | 15.4% | 17.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Vote for political candidate? * Age categories

| | Age categories | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--------|
| | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 59 | 60 to 69 | 70 and over | |
| Very likely | 5.0% | 11.0% | 9.0% | 4.3% | 7.1% | 9.1% | 7.4% |
| Likely | 24.8% | 14.6% | 9.0% | 15.2% | 11.9% | 13.6% | 15.3% |
| Not likely | 27.7% | 22.0% | 34.6% | 30.4% | 33.3% | 28.8% | 29.4% |
| Not at all likely | 26.7% | 31.7% | 29.5% | 33.7% | 31.0% | 30.3% | 30.4% |
| DK/REF | 15.8% | 20.7% | 17.9% | 16.3% | 16.7% | 18.2% | 17.5% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Vote for political candidate? * PSC District

| | PSC District | | | | | Total |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | District 1 | District 2 | District 3 | District 4 | District 5 | |
| Very likely | 3.2% | 9.0% | 11.7% | 8.9% | 4.0% | 7.5% |
| Likely | 13.7% | 19.0% | 14.4% | 13.9% | 16.0% | 15.4% |
| Not likely | 32.6% | 22.0% | 29.7% | 30.7% | 32.0% | 29.4% |
| Not at all likely | 33.7% | 27.0% | 29.7% | 33.7% | 28.0% | 30.4% |
| DK/REF | 16.8% | 23.0% | 14.4% | 12.9% | 20.0% | 17.4% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |